

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/941,390	08/28/2001	Shane Chen	BWD:7945.006	6029	
75	90 04/28/2004		EXAM	INER	
Kevin L. Russell / Chernoff, Vilhauer			VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT		
McClung & Ste Suite 1600	nzel, LLP		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
601 S.W. Second Avenue			3618		
Portland, OR	Portland, OR 97204 DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/3			
	09/941,390	CHEN, SHANE	H			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Frank Vanaman	3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she t with the c	correspondence address	<b>;</b>			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this commun ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Fe	bruary 2004.	<i>,</i>				
· · · _	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 32,42 and 43 is/are pending in the app	olication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32, 42 and 43</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		9				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		Vi.				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority ariable of cross 5 (a	, (=, =, (,).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stag	е			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed. "				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
		<u></u>				

Application/Control Number: 09/941,390 Page 2

Art Unit: 3618

'n.

## **Status of Application**

1. Applicant's response, filed Feb. 10, 2004, has been entered in the application. Claims 32, 42 and 43 are pending.

# Claim Rejections 35 USC 102

- 2. The portions of 35 USC relied on herein may be found in a previous office action.
- Claims 32, 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by 3. Parks (US 6,531,838, cited previously. Parks teaches a scooter having a running board (11) supported by front (23) and rear (12) wheels, the front wheel being connected to a handle bar (22) by a steering shaft (21), a motor assembly (27) detachably coupled to the shaft by a clamp (46, 47, 48) which may be loosened and slid along the shaft (to the extent allowed by the diameter of the shaft and the notch 30), a battery case (40), a motor (35) with an output shaft (44) and further including a biasing mechanism (38) which urges the motor shaft into contact with the front wheel periphery, the biasing element taught to optionally be a spring tensioner (see col. 3, lines 12-13 and col. 5, lines 3-9) wherein a further battery case (40) may be provided directly above the motor (see col. 4, lines 21-22) resulting in the biasing device (which may be provided as a spring) being located between the case and motor, the assembly further including an outer case (50, see col. 3, lines 36-40) which houses the battery case(s) and motor and which may be slid with respect to the clamp (46, 47, 48) when it is disengaged from the assembly, to the breadth claimed.

### **Response to Comments**

4. Applicant's comments have been carefully considered, as regards the reference to Parks and the provision of a spring between the motor and battery case, applicant is reminded of the following: Parks includes this feature in that (1) the reference anticipates providing a further battery element (as referred to at col. 4, lines 21-22) which would locate it above the motor, and (2) Parks anticipates the provision of spring tensioner devices in place of the threaded elements 38 (as specifically taught at Parks in col. 3, lines 12-13 and col. 5, lines 3-9). These citations were provided in the previous office action in the statement of rejection. As such, the teachings specifically pointed out above (and specifically pointed out to the same degree of detail in the previous office

Art Unit: 3618

action) support the examiner's position. Applicant is reminded that the drawings of a Patent document alone may not show every element that is actually part of the disclosure of a Patent document.

5. Note that the use of a spring tensioner (anticipated by Parks at col. 3, lines 12-13 and col. 5, lines 3-9) and the provision of a second battery element on the opposite side from the specifically illustrated element (anticipated by Parks at col. 4, lines 21-22) would result in the provision of a spring tensioner located between the battery case and the motor. Applicant is reminded that a recitation of a device "interacting between" two elements does not encompass any degree of relationship beyond that which is actually positively recited, and that it is not proper for an examiner to read un-recited limitations into a claim.

#### Limitations not in the claims

Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

As regards reading unclaimed limitations from the specification into the claims

From MPEP 2111: During patent examination, the pending claims must be given their. broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification. In re Hyatt, 211 F.3d 1367, 1372, 54 USPQ2d 1664, 1667 (Fed. Cir. 2000). Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution, and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-51 (CCPA 1969) The court explained that "reading a claim in light of the specification, to thereby interpret limitations explicitly recited in the claim, is a quite different thing from 'reading limitations of the specification into a claim,' to thereby narrow the scope of the claim by implicitly adding disclosed limitations which have no express basis in the claim." The court found that applicant was advocating the latter, i.e., the impermissible importation of subject matter from the specification into the claim.). See also In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997)

Art Unit: 3618

Applicant's comments about the battery and motor being slidable with respect to the clamp are noted, but it appears that the recitation in claim 43 is directed to a case, which houses both a battery and a motor and may be slid with respect to a clamp. Note that Parks' case (50) encloses both the motor and battery, and may be slid, to the breadth claimed, with respect to the clamp, or the motor or the battery, once it is unmounted therefrom.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Vanaman whose telephone number is 703-308-0424. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

As of May 1, 2003, any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop \_\_\_\_\_ Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450,

Or faxed to one of the following fax servers:

Regular Communications/Amendments: 703-872-9326

After Final Amendments: 703-872-9327

Customer Service Communications: 703-872-9325

F. VANAMAN
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3618

3618 1/26/64

Page 4